

FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
JAN 14 2011	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY:	DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DANIEL REYES, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COVER-ALL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

2:07-cv-148-RCJ-PAL

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (#203) ("Recommendation") entered on December 22, 2010, in which the Magistrate Judge recommends that this Court dismiss the claims asserted by Plaintiffs Armando Leals Perez, Apoliner Juarez Albino, and Joel Monge. No objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filed.

**I. DISCUSSION**

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Further, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), if a party makes a timely objection to the magistrate judge's recommendation, then this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, the statute does not "require[ ] some lesser review by [this Court] when no objections are filed." Thomas v. Arn, 474

<sup>1</sup> For an objection to be timely, a party must serve and file it within 10 days after being served with the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

1 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985). Instead, under the statute, this Court is not required to conduct “any  
2 review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” Id. at 149. Similarly, the  
3 Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s  
4 report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v. Reyna-  
5 Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the  
6 district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made);  
7 see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth  
8 Circuit’s decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to  
9 review “any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”). Thus, if there is no objection to a  
10 magistrate judge’s recommendation, then this Court may accept the recommendation without  
11 review. See e.g., Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate  
12 judge’s recommendation to which no objection was filed).


13 In this case, there have been no objections filed to the Magistrate Judge’s Report and  
14 Recommendation. Although no objection was filed, this Court has reviewed the Report and  
15 Recommendation (#203) and accepts it. Accordingly,

16 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs Armando Leals Perez, Apoliner Juarez Albino,  
17 and Joel Monge’s claims are dismissed.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19  
20 DATED: This 14<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE